UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/576,108	07/17/2006	Oddvin Reiso	2006_0560A	1717		
	7590 07/20/201 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER				
1030 15th Stree Suite 400 East		YANG, JIE				
Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
.			1733			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/20/2011	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/576,108	REISO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JIE YANG	1733		

	JIE YANG	'	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the co	rrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 July 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALL	OWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing replies: (1) an amend eal (with appeal fee) ir	g a Notice of Ap ment, affidavit, on compliance wi	peal. To avoid aban or other evidence, w th 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f 	dvisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS t b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing o	late of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrander 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspo hortened statutory perio than three months after	onding amount of onding amount of one of the original of the original origi	the fee. The appropria Illy set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFF	R 41.37(e)), to a	void dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or sea w);	arch (see NOTE	below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		·	·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			e entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections	s under appeal a	and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the o	claims after entr	y is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the	application in c	ondition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper N	lo(s)		
	/Jie Yang/ Patent Exan	niner, Art Unit	1733	

There is no amendment in the instant claims. Claims 5, 7, and 8 remain for examination, wherein claim 5 is an independent claim.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance:

The Applicant's arguments filed on 7/5/2011 with respect to claims 5, 7, and 8 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are summarized as follows:

- 1) 0.03-0.06wt%Mn is a critical range for providing unexpected results over the art. The narrowly defined alloy element range as claimed forms AlMnFeSi dispoid particles during homogenisation, and provides a large number of small Mg2Si particles during cooling after homogenisation as recited in claim 5.
- 2) The Examiner has failed to consider the fact that claim 1 of US'090 specifies Si in the amount of 2.5-4.0wt%, which is far outside the range of Si of 0.35-0.6wt% recited in claim 5.

Responses are as follows:

Regarding the argument 1), as pointed out in the previous office actions marked 4/6/2011 and 12/16/2011, US'359 teaches an aluminum alloy with all of the major composition ranges overlap the composition ranges of the instant claims. More specifically, US'359 teaches 0-0.15wt%Mn in the alloy, which overlaps the claimed 0.03-0.06wt%Mn of claim 5. The Examiner further notes that the limitation of a large number of small Mg2Si particles is not included in the instant claims. In contrast, US'359 teaches the homogenization heat treatment for the alloy in order to bring the soluble secondary Mg-Si phases into suitable form (Col.4, lines 19-39 of US'359). US'359 teaches the intermetallics including Al(Fe,Mn)Si (Col.3, line 64 to Col.4, line 11 of US'359), which reads on the AlMnFeSi dispersoid particles as recited in the instant claim 5.

Regarding the argument 2), the Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's argument because US'090 tecahes adding 0.5-5.0wt%Si in the alloy (CoI.2, line 43, line 55; CoI.3, lines 1-2, line 20, and line 40; and claim 5 of US'090), which overlaps the claimed 0.35-0.6wt%Si as recited in the instant claim 5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.